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## Outline of the course

## courses 1 - 2: basics of quantum computing and standard algorithms (Anthony Leverrier)

- May 29 (9:15-10:45): basics of quantum computing: qubits, measurements, circuit model, query complexity model, Simon's algorithm
- June 5 (11:00-12:30): quantum Fourier transform, Shor's algorithm, Grover's algorithm

```
courses 3-4: quantum error correction and quantum fault tolerance (Mazyar Mirrahimi)
```

- June 18: basics of quantum error correction (discretization of errors, Shor an Steane codes) and fault-tolerance
- June 25: towards experimental implementation: surface codes and continuous-variable codes


## Last week

- several equivalent models for quantum computing: circuit, adiabatic, measurement-based ...
- 2 models of quantum complexity
- standard model: input is a classical string, quantum circuit and measurement in the computational basis, what is the number of gates?
- query complexity model: input given as a black box (ex: function), how many queries are made to the black box?
- Simon's algorithm: exponential speedup compared to classical randomized algorithms in the quantum query complexity model


## Outline of the course

- Simon's algorithm
- quantum Fourier transform: exponential speedup, if input and output encoded in a quantum state
- Shor's algorithm for factoring
- Grover's search algorithm


## Simon's algorithm

Exponential speedup for query complexity (we count queries, not ordinary operations)

## hidden period for 2-to-1 function

Input: $\mathrm{f}:\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}$ with the property that $\exists \mathrm{s} \neq 0 \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}) \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y} \oplus \mathrm{~s})
$$

Find s.

## complexity

- randomized classical algorithm in $\mathrm{O}\left(\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)$ queries with birthday paradox
- this is essentially optimal for classical algorithms
- quantum (Simon's algorithm): O(n) queries
$\Longrightarrow$ exponential separation quantum vs randomized classical


## Simon's algorithm



$$
\left|0^{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle\left|0^{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}}} \sum_{\mathrm{x} \in\{0,1\} \mathrm{n}}|\mathrm{x}\rangle\left|0^{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}}} \sum_{\mathrm{x} \in\{0,1\} \mathrm{n}}|\mathrm{x}\rangle|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})\rangle
$$

Measure 2nd n-bit register: yields $f(x) \in\{0,1\}^{n}$, collapses the first register to superposition of 2 indices compatible with $f(x)$

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\mathrm{x}\rangle+|\mathrm{x} \oplus \mathrm{~s}\rangle)|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})\rangle
$$

Hadamard to first n qubits:

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}}\left(\sum_{j \in\{0,1\}^{n}}(-1)^{x \cdot j}|j\rangle+\sum_{j \in\{0,1\}^{n}}(-1)^{(x \oplus s) \cdot j}|j\rangle\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{j \in\{0,1\}^{n}}(-1)^{x \cdot j}\left(1+(-1)^{s \cdot j}\right)|j\rangle
$$

## Simon's algorithm

Measure state

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}+1}}} \sum_{\mathrm{j} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}}(-1)^{\mathrm{x} \cdot \mathrm{j}}\left(1+(-1)^{\mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{j}}\right)|\mathrm{j}\rangle
$$

- $|\mathrm{j}\rangle$ has nonzero amplitude iff $\mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{j}=0 \bmod 2$.
- The measurement outcome is uniformly drawn from $\{\mathrm{j} \mid \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{j}=0 \bmod 2\}$.
- $\Longrightarrow$ linear equation giving information about s
- repeat until we get $\mathrm{n}-1$ independent linear equations
- solutions are 0 and $s$ via Gaussian elimination (classical circuit of size $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ )
$\Longrightarrow$ exponential speedup in the query complexity model! Can we get it in the standard model as well?


## Quantum Fourier Transform

## Classical discrete Fourier transform

For $N$, define $\omega_{N}=e^{2 \pi i / N}$ the $N$-th root of identity, and the $N \times N$ matrix:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~N}}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 
& \vdots & \\
\ldots & \omega_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{jk}} & \cdots \\
& \vdots &
\end{array}\right)
$$

We'll be mostly interested in the case $\mathrm{N}=2^{\mathrm{n}}$.
For $\mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}$, the Fourier transform of v is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{v}=F_{N} v \\
\text { for } j \in\{0, N-1\}, \quad \hat{v}_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{N}^{j k} v_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Complexity of discrete Fourier transform

## Naïve classical algorithm

matrix multiplication: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ additions/multiplications per entry

$$
\Longrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}\right) \text { steps }
$$

## Fast Fourier Transform

Recursive procedure: compute 2 FT for N/2 and combine

$$
\Longrightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~N} \log \mathrm{~N}) \quad \text { steps }
$$

## Quantum Fourier Transform

$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is a unitary matrix: can be interpreted as a quantum operation on $\mathrm{n}=\log _{2} \mathrm{~N}$ qubits. If input and output are encoded as $|\mathrm{v}\rangle=\sum_{i=0}^{\mathrm{N}-1} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}|\mathrm{i}\rangle$ and $|\hat{\mathrm{v}}\rangle=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{N}-1} \hat{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{i}}|\mathrm{i}\rangle$

$$
\Longrightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(\log ^{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) \text { steps } \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text { exponential speedup! }
$$

## Efficient quantum circuit for the n-qubit QFT $\left(\mathrm{N}=2^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

linearity: sufficient to implement QFT on basis states $|\mathrm{x}\rangle=\left|\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \cdots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle$ with $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in\{0,1\}$
QFT: $|\mathrm{x}\rangle \mapsto \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}|\mathrm{x}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~N}}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{N}-1} \omega_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{jk}}|\mathrm{j}\rangle$

## Insight: $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}|\mathrm{x}\rangle$ is a product state!

integer in binary notation: $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \cdots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}=\right.$ most significant bit $)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}|\mathrm{x}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{N}-1} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{ijx} / 2^{\mathrm{n}}}|\mathrm{j}\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{N}-1} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{j}_{\ell} 2^{-\ell}\right) \mathrm{x}}\left|\mathrm{j}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{N}-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}_{\ell} \mathrm{x} / 2^{\ell}}\left|\mathrm{j}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle \\
& =\bigotimes_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|0\rangle+\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{ix} / 2^{\ell}}|1\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ sufficient to prepare qubits of the form $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|0\rangle+\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left[0 \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-\ell+1} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}-\ell+2} \cdots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]}|1\rangle\right)$

## Efficient quantum circuit for the n-qubit QFT

## Allowed gates

- Hadamard gate: $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow|+\rangle$,

$$
|1\rangle \leftrightarrow|-\rangle \quad H=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- phase-flip gate $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}:|0\rangle \mapsto|0\rangle, \quad|1\rangle \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} / 2^{\mathrm{s}}}|1\rangle$

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\phi}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} / 2^{\mathrm{s}}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

example:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}\left|\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|0\rangle+\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left[0 \cdot \mathrm{x}_{3}\right]}|1\rangle\right) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|0\rangle+\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left[0 \cdot \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}\right]}|1\rangle\right) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|0\rangle+\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left[0 \cdot \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}\right]}|1\rangle\right)
$$



## Efficient quantum circuit for the n-qubit QFT



## Complexity

- n qubits
- at most n gates applied to each qubit
- total number of gates $\leq \mathrm{n}^{2}=\left(\log _{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)^{2}$
- the phase gates are almost equal to the identity for $\mathrm{s} \gg \log \mathrm{n}$, so the corresponding gates can be omitted without causing much error
- complexity $\approx \mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n}$

Note that the inverse Fourier transform is obtained by reversing the circuit and taking $\mathrm{R}_{-\mathrm{s}}$ instead of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$

## Shor's algorithm

## Factoring

Given a composite number N , find a factor of N .

- Best (known) classical algorithm: complexity $2^{(\log \mathrm{N})^{1 / 3}}$
- Shor's algorithm: complexity $(\log \mathrm{N})^{2}$ steps


## Reduction to period finding

efficient algorithm for period finding $\Longrightarrow$ efficient algorithm for factoring choose random integer $\mathrm{x} \in\{2, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}-1\}$ coprime to N and define

$$
f(a)=x^{a} \quad \bmod N
$$

$$
f(0)=1 \quad \bmod N, \quad f(1)=x \quad \bmod N, \quad f(2)=x^{2} \quad \bmod N \cdots
$$

This sequence is cyclic with period $r \quad \Longrightarrow$ find $r!$

## Reduction to period finding

$$
f(a)=x^{a} \quad \bmod N
$$

## Lemma

With probability $\geq 1 / 2$, the period $r$ is even and $x^{r / 2}+1$ and $x^{r / 2}-1$ are not multiples of N.

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r}} \equiv 1 \bmod \mathrm{~N} & \Longleftrightarrow\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r} / 2}\right)^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod \mathrm{~N} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r} / 2}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r} / 2}-1\right) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \mathrm{~N} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r} / 2}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r} / 2}-1\right)=\mathrm{kN} \quad \text { for some } \mathrm{k}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r} / 2}+1$ or $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r} / 2}-1$ shares a factor with N .
With Euclid algorithm, one can recover $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{r} / 2} \pm 1, \mathrm{~N}\right)$ efficiently, which gives non-trivial factors of N .
f can be computed efficiently

$$
f(a)=x^{a} \quad \bmod N
$$

## idea: repeated squaring

- compute $\mathrm{x}^{2} \bmod \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{x}^{4} \bmod \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{x}^{8} \bmod \mathrm{~N}, \ldots$
- write a in binary: $\mathrm{a}=\sum_{\mathrm{i} \geq 0} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$
- $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{a}}=\prod_{\mathrm{i}: \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}=1} \mathrm{x}^{2^{\mathrm{i}}}$


## Complexity

$\mathrm{O}\left((\log \mathrm{N})^{2} \log \log \mathrm{~N} \log \log \log \mathrm{~N}\right)$ steps
$\Longrightarrow$ a quantum circuit for $U_{f}:|a\rangle\left|0^{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle \mapsto|\mathrm{a}\rangle|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})\rangle$ has the same complexity
$\Longrightarrow$ we don't need to work in the oracle model since we can implement the function quantumly

## Quantum circuit for factoring

same circuit as Simon's algorithm, with Hadamard $\leftrightarrow$ QFT


- $\mathrm{q}=2^{\ell}$ such that $\mathrm{N}^{2}<\mathrm{q} \leq 2 \mathrm{~N}^{2}$
- Quantum Fourier Transform $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ requires $\mathrm{O}\left(\log ^{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ gates
- black-box $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{f}}:|\mathrm{a}\rangle\left|0^{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle \mapsto|\mathrm{a}\rangle|\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})\rangle$ requires $\mathrm{O}\left((\log \mathrm{N})^{2} \log \log \mathrm{~N} \log \log \log \mathrm{~N}\right)$ steps
$\Longrightarrow$ this is the costly part of the algorithm!
- $\mathrm{n}=\lceil\log \mathrm{N}\rceil$ qubits


## Quantum circuit for factoring



$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|0^{\ell}\right\rangle\left|0^{n}\right\rangle & \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{a=0}^{q-1}|a\rangle\left|0^{n}\right\rangle \\
& \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{a=0}^{q-1}|a\rangle|f(a)\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Measure second register and get $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{s})$ for $\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{r}$
$\Longrightarrow$ first register collapses to

$$
|\mathrm{s}\rangle+|\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{s}\rangle+|2 \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{s}\rangle+|3 \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{s}\rangle+\cdots+|(\mathrm{m}-1) \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{s}\rangle
$$

with $\mathrm{m} \approx \mathrm{q} / \mathrm{r}$

## Quantum circuit for factoring

QFT applied to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~m}}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{m}-1}|\mathrm{jr}+\mathrm{s}\rangle$ yields

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} e^{2 \pi i(j r+s) b / q}|b\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m \mathrm{q}}} \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} e^{2 \pi i s b / q}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2 \pi i j r b / q}\right)|b\rangle
$$

what are the b with large amplitude?

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2 \pi i j r b / q}= \begin{cases}m & \text { if } e^{2 \pi i \frac{r b}{q}}=1 \\ \frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{m b r}{q}}}{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{r b}{q}}} & \text { if } e^{2 \pi i \frac{r b}{q}} \neq 1\end{cases}
$$

- yields with high probability a value $b$ such that $r b / q$ is close to an integer $c$
- One can find efficiently (with continued fractions) the value of $\frac{c}{r}$
- c and r will be coprime with probability $\Omega(1 / \log \log \mathrm{r})$, which will occur after $\mathrm{O}(\log \log \mathrm{N})$ repetitions of the procedure
- in that case, one obtain r as the denominator by writing $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{r}$ in lowest terms.


## Grover's algorithm

## The search problem

## The problem

Input: function $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$. Find $x$ such that $f(x)=1$ or output no solution if no such x .

## Complexity

- randomized classical algorithm: $\Theta\left(2^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ queries if single correct value
- Grover's algorithm: $\mathrm{O}\left(\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)$ queries and $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n} \sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)$ other gates
$\Longrightarrow$ quadratic speedup


## Idea of the algorithm

Start with uniform superposition (via Hadamard):

$$
|\mathrm{U}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}}} \sum_{\mathrm{x} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}}|\mathrm{x}\rangle=\sin \theta|\mathrm{G}\rangle+\cos \theta|\mathrm{B}\rangle
$$

- $\sin \theta=\sqrt{\mathrm{t} / 2^{\mathrm{n}}}$ and $\mathrm{t}=\#\{\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=1\}$
- good state $|\mathrm{G}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{t}}} \sum_{\mathrm{x} \text { s.t. } \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=1}|\mathrm{x}\rangle$
- bad state $|\mathrm{B}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{t}}} \sum_{\mathrm{x} \text { s.t. } \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=0}|\mathrm{x}\rangle$

goal: rotate in the $\{|\mathrm{B}\rangle,|\mathrm{G}\rangle\}$ plane to reach $|\mathrm{G}\rangle$


## How to implement rotation


perform two reflections:

- through $|\mathrm{B}\rangle$ by calling the oracle $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{f}, \pm}:|\mathrm{x}\rangle \mapsto(-1)^{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})}|\mathrm{x}\rangle$
- through $|\mathrm{U}\rangle$ by $\mathrm{H}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{RH}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}=2|\mathrm{U}\rangle\langle\mathrm{U}|-\mathbb{1}$, where $\mathrm{R}:|\mathrm{x}\rangle \rightarrow(-1)^{\left[\mathrm{x} \neq 0^{\mathrm{n}}\right]}|\mathrm{x}\rangle$
define $\mathcal{G}=\mathrm{H}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{RH}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{f}, \pm} \Longrightarrow$ rotation of angle $2 \theta$


## Grover's algorithm

assuming we know the fraction of solutions $t / 2^{\mathrm{n}}=\sin ^{2} \theta \approx \theta^{2}$


1 start with $|\mathrm{U}\rangle=\mathrm{H}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}|0\rangle$
2 repeat $\mathrm{k} \approx \frac{\pi / 2}{2 \theta}=\mathrm{O}\left(1 / \sqrt{\mathrm{t} / 2^{\mathrm{n}}}\right)$ times the rotation $\mathcal{G}$ of angle $2 \theta$
3 measure and check that the outcome is a solution

## Recap

- quantum Fourier transform: exponential speedup compared to classical: $\log ^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ vs $\mathrm{N} \log \mathrm{N}$
- seems like cheating because input and output are encoded in quantum states, and not classically accessible
- yet, this is the main ingredient for Shor's algorithm
- more recently (2009): HHL algorithm solves linear equations $A x=b$ in $O(\log n)$ time (exponential speedup) if solution encoded as $|\mathrm{x}\rangle \propto \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}|\mathrm{i}\rangle$
- seems again like cheating, but useful for quantum machine learning algorithms
- to be continued...


## next talks

Mazyar Mirrahimi on the challenges to build a quantum computer (error correction and fault-tolerance)

